The future Cherenkov Telescope Array

T. Hassan

Current y-Ray detectors





Fermi-LAT detected γ-ray sources



No association	Possible ass	Possible association with SNR or PWN				
× AGN	☆ Pulsar	△ Globular cluster				
* Starburst Gal	♦ PWN	⋈ HMB				
+ Galaxy	○ SNR	* Nova				









Imaging Atmospheric Cherenkov Telescopes (IACT) principle





Main background sources



1 TeV γ-Ray

1 TeV proton

1 TeV iron













Future γ -Ray detectors





CTA: The Cherenkov Telescope Array

• The next generation of IACTs, expected to improve on the sensitivity of current instruments by an order of magnitude

- Will cover a wide energy range (~20GeV \rightarrow ~300 TeV)
- Collaboration of more than 1200 members working in 200 institutes from 29 countries
- Composed of two sites, one at each hemisphere, will provide full sky coverage
- CTA will be an observatory, with a significant amount of open time for external proposals

CTA: The Cherenkov Telescope Array



Future γ-Ray detectors

Why different telescope size?



• Photon density increases with energy

 Higher energy cascades can be observed farther away, or by smaller telescopes

 As the energy increases large telescopes are not needed anymore, but events are less frequent







Between ~200 GeV and ~4 TeV



Between ~200 GeV and ~4 TeV





CTA Monte Carlo Simulations

Role of MC on IACTs

• The environment of IACTs is part of the instrument

```
Fermi-LAT "tower"
```

IACT





Role of MC on IACTs

• The environment of IACTs is part of the instrument

• Simulations are needed to estimate Cherenkov light emitted by extended air showers and the IACT response

- Simulations are required for:
 - Direction reconstruction
 - Energy estimation
 - Discern between signal and background

CTA MC Goal

• Estimate CTA future performance, which needs to fulfill certain requirements defined by physics working groups

• Compare different telescope layouts and the impact of the telescopes size and distribution over final sensitivity

• Rate building sites location taking into account their impact on performance (mainly altitude, geomagnetic field)

CTA MC chain

Shower Telescope Performance simulation simulation analysis 600 Migration Matrix 400 200 X [cm] 0 -200 -400 -600 -1.5 -0.5 0 0.5 1.5 2 log10(Eest/TeV) 600 400 200 0 -200 -400 -600 Y[cm] cm⁻² s⁻¹) PRELIMINARY MPIK IFAE dF/dE (erg c SAM ▲ Paris-MVA C.11 DESY ñ 101 10⁻² 10² E (TeV) 10'1 10 Alt



CTA MC chain

Shower Simulation (CORSIKA)

Telescopes response (sim_telarray)



γ-rays, hadrons and electrons are simulated over an area of 20 Km²

CTA MC

Sites simulated

Site	Location		Altitude	B_h	B_z
	lon.	lat.			
	[deg]	$\left[deg \right]$	[m]	$[\mu T]$	μT
US	-115.5	31	1655	23.5	42.9
SPM	-115.5	31	2434	25.2	38.3
Tenerife	-16.5	28.3	2290	30.8	23.2
SAC	-66.2	-24	3600	20.9	-8.9
Armazones	-70.2	-24.4	2500	21.4	-8.9
Armazones++	-70.2	-24.6	2000	21.4	-8.9
Aar	16.5	-26.7	1640	10.9	-24.9
Aar500	16.5	-26.7	500	10.9	-24.9
Leoncito	-63.3	-31.8	2662	19.9	-12.6
Leoncito++	-63.3	-31.8	1650	19.9	-12.6

*

*

۲

CTA MC

Sites simulated

	Site	Location		Altitude	B_h	B_z	
		lon.	lat.				
		[deg]	[deg]	[m]	$[\mu T]$	μT	
80000	US	-115.5	31	1055	23.5	42.9	
*	SPM	-115.5	31	2434	25.2	38.3	
	Tenerife	-16.5	28.3	2290	30.8	23.2	
	SAC	-00.2	-24	3600	20.9	-8.9	
*	Armazones	-70.2	-24.4	2500	21.4	-8.9	
	Armazones++	-70.2	-24.6	2000	21.4	-8.9	
*	Aar	16.5	-26.7	1640	10.9	-24.9	
	Aar500	16.5	-26.7	500	10.9	-24.9	
	Leoneito	63.3	31.8	2662	10.0	12.6	
•	Leoncito++	-63.3	-31.8	1650	19.9	-12.6	

CTA MC chain

• Telescopes are selected from the simulated super-layout and candidate arrays are defined. Then performance is estimated.



⁴ LSTs, 24 MSTs, 72 4-m SC-SSTs

Thank you!

