<u>2025 European Edition of the International Workshop on the CEPC</u>

Measuring charged-current leptonic B decays and CKM matrix elements

based on arXiv:2305.02998 in collaboration with: C. Helsens, D. Hill, S. Iguro, M. Klute & X. Zuo

JOHANNES GUTENBERG UNIVERSITÄT MAINZ



M. Fedele





The Status of Flavour Physics

Flavour Physics allows for a fantastic playground to test the Standard Model and probe for New Physics effects. The unitarity of the CKM matrix is a fundamental consistency check



$$ar{
ho} = 0.160 \pm 0.009 \sim 6\%$$

 $ar{\eta} = 0.346 \pm 0.009 \sim 3\%$
 $\lambda = 0.2251 \pm 0.0008$

 $A = 0.827 \pm 0.010$

Wolfenstein parameters determined with ever-increasing precision, but (un)fortunately all measurements are in perfect agreement!



The Flavour NP reach

To describe heavy NP effects, it is customary to employ effective Hamiltonians, where the UV degrees of freedom are integrated out and which allow model-independent analyses





Within reach of future colliders!





Disclaimer #1

- Our analysis originated from a collaboration with experimentalists involved in FCC(-ee)
 - Nevertheless, all the results obtained are qualitatively analogous to what could be obtained at CEPC!



In current baseline FCC-ee design, runs will yield $6 \times 10^{12} Z$ bosons Enormous potential as a B factory, when compared with Belle II and LHCb

Attribute

All hadron species High boost Enormous production cro Negligible trigger losses Low backgrounds Initial energy constraint

#1: lack of high production x-section compensated by much larger instantaneous luminosity #2: b and c hadrons momenta not known a priori, but their distributions are very well understood

Particle count (10 ⁹)	$B^0(\bar{B^0})$	B^{\pm}	$B_s^0(B_s^0)$	B_c^{\pm}	$\Lambda_b(\bar{\Lambda_b})$	$c(\bar{c})$	$ au^{\pm}$
Belle-II	55	55	0.6	N.A.	N.A.	130	90
FCC-ee	770	770	170	7	150	1400	400

FCC-ee as a B factory

	$\Upsilon(4S)$	pp	Z^0	
		\checkmark	\checkmark	
		\checkmark	\checkmark	
oss-section		\checkmark		#1
	\checkmark		\checkmark	
	\checkmark		\checkmark	
	\checkmark		(\checkmark)	#2



Helicity suppressed, tree-level decay

Main uncertainties come from CKM elements (UTA) and decay constants (Lattice)

$$\mathcal{B}(B_q^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_{\tau})^{\text{SM}} = \tau_{B_q^+} \frac{G_F^2 |V_{qb}|^2 f_{B_q^+}^2 m_{B_q^+} m_{\tau}^2}{8\pi} \left(1 - \frac{m_{\tau}^2}{m_{B_q^+}^2}\right)^2, \quad q = u, c$$

 $|V_{cb}|^{\text{UTA}} = 42.22(51) \times 10^{-3}, f_{B_c} = 427(6) \text{ MeV}$

 $|V_{ub}|^{\text{UTA}} = 3.70(11) \times 10^{-3}, f_{B^+} = 190.0(1.3) \text{ MeV}$ 2212.03894 2111.09849 UTfit Collaboration FLAG

According to present Lattice estimates, decay constants errors could be halved in the next decade!

$B \rightarrow \tau \nu$: the SM status

$$\Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_{\tau})^{\text{SM}} = 2.29(9) \times 10^{10}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_\tau)^{\text{SM}} = 0.87(5) \times 10$$





I will try to go over the important points of a measurement of $B \to \tau \nu$ at FCC-ee

Remember I'm a theorist, please be gentle :)





$\rightarrow \tau \nu$ (a) FCC-ee



Zuo, MF, Helsen, Hill, Iguro, Klute

Main analysis difficulty: separate signal from background, mostly composed by $Z \rightarrow bb, Z \rightarrow c\bar{c}, Z \rightarrow q\bar{q}$

 \mathbf{V}

- Achieved by the implementation of 2 Boosted Decision Tree (BDT) classifiers
- BDT1: loosely separates sig. from bkg. using event level kinematic (not specific decay processes)





<u> $B \rightarrow \tau \nu$ @ FCC-ee</u>

BDT2: further refines using kinematic details of the decay processes



2305.02998 Zuo, MF, Helsen, Hill, Iguro, Klute



$B \rightarrow \tau \nu$ (a) FCC-ee

The final yields are obtained estimated the optimal selections for BDT1, BDT2 event and BDT2 signal, separately for the 2 channels

 $\bullet B^+ \to \tau^+ \nu$

BDT1>0.99946, BDT2 Bu>0.640, BDT2 bkg < 0

 $\bullet B_c^+ \to \tau^+ \nu$

BDT1>0.99993, BDT2 Bc>0.782, BDT2 bkg < 0.0088

2305.02998 Zuo, MF, Helsen, Hill, Iguro, Klute

0.0123		Process	Bc category	Bu cate
		$N(B_c^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_{\tau})$	12000.8	569
	\Rightarrow	$N(B^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_{\tau})$	1373.6	1201
	ŕ	$N(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b})$	437.4	3334
		$N(Z \to c\bar{c})$	100.7	34.







-ee

Signal yield precision expected in the range $\approx 2-4\%$, easily translating in an analogous precision for the Br

Signal yield precision expected in the range $\approx 2\%$, <u>not</u> easily translating in an analogous precision for the Br due to poor knowledge of hadronisation fraction $f(B_c^{\pm})$. Strategy:

$$\frac{N(B_c^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_{\tau})}{N(B_c^+ \to J/\psi\mu^+ \nu_{\mu})} = \frac{\mathscr{B}(B_c^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_{\tau})}{\mathscr{B}(B_c^+ \to J/\psi\mu^+ \nu_{\mu})}$$

It is possible to extract the Br modulo CKM multiplying by

$$\Gamma_{\rm theo}(B_c^+\to J/\psi\mu^+\nu_\mu)/|V_{cb}|^2$$



$|V_{\mu b}|$ from $B \rightarrow \tau \nu$ @ FCC-ee



Potential to play a role in the determination of $|V_{ub}^{excl.}|$ in the future, contrary to present situation! 2305.02998 Zuo, MF, Helsen, Hill, Iguro, Klute

The direct measurement of $B^+ \to \tau^+ \nu$ allows for an excl. determination of $|V_{ub}|$ from this channel

<u> $B \rightarrow \tau \nu$: NP implications</u>

 $\mathcal{B}(B_q^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_\tau) = \mathcal{B}(B_q^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_\tau)^{\mathrm{SM}} \times \left| 1 \right|$

 $O_{V_{L(R)}} = (\bar{q}_{L(R)}\gamma_{\mu}b_{L(R)})(\bar{\tau}_{L}\gamma_{\mu}\nu_{L})$



2305.02998 Zuo, MF, Helsen, Hill, Iguro, Klute

Extremely sensitive to scalar BSM extensions (2HDM, LQ), which lift helicity suppression

$$-\left(C_{V_R}^q - C_{V_L}^q\right) + \left(C_{S_R}^q - C_{S_L}^q\right) rac{m_{B_q}^2}{m_{ au}(m_b + m_q)} \Bigg|^2$$

$$O_{S_{L(R)}} = (\bar{q}_{R(L)}b_{L(R)})(\bar{\tau}_R\nu_L)$$



<u> $B \rightarrow \tau \nu$: G2HDM</u>

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{G2HDM}} \supset y_0^q H^-(\bar{b}P_R q) - y_\tau H^-(\bar{\tau}P_L \nu_\tau) + \text{h.c.}$



2305.02998 Zuo, MF, Helsen, Hill, Iguro, Klute





 $\mathscr{L}_{S_1} = y_L^{ij} \overline{Q_i^C} i \tau_2 L_j S_1 + y_R^{ij} \overline{u_{Ri}^C} l_{Rj} S_1 + h.C.$



2305.02998 Zuo, MF, Helsen, Hill, Iguro, Klute

<u> $B \rightarrow \tau \nu$: S_1 Leptoquark</u>





Zuo, MF, Helsen, Hill, Iguro, Klute

<u> $B \rightarrow \tau \nu$: U_1 Leptoquark</u>

 $\mathscr{L}_{U_{1}} = \hat{z}_{L}^{ij}\overline{Q_{i}}\gamma_{\mu}L_{j}U_{1}^{\mu} + \hat{z}_{R}^{ij}\overline{d_{Ri}}\gamma_{\mu}l_{Rj}U_{1}^{\mu} + \text{h.c.} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad C_{V_{L}}^{q}(\mu_{LQ}) = \frac{\left(Vz_{L}\right)^{q\tau}\left(z_{L}^{*}\right)^{b\tau}}{2\sqrt{2}G_{F}V_{qb}m_{U_{1}}^{2}}, \quad C_{S_{R}}^{q}(\mu_{LQ}) = -\frac{\left(Vz_{L}\right)^{q\tau}\left(z_{R}^{*}\right)^{b\tau}}{\sqrt{2}G_{F}V_{qb}m_{U_{1}}^{2}}$





• CEPC is far away in the future, but there is already a lot to be done in terms of sensitivity studies: some channels already explored, many still to be addressed

Data collected at CEPC will have huge potential to enrich the determinations of CKM parameters, potentially including channels currently not relevant

Many different NP scenarios (more or less inspired by current anomalous data) to be tested, with strongly increased potential for discovery

Conclusions

