

SuperScaling Approach (SuSA) and NEUT 1p1h Nieves model

B.Bourguille F.Sanchez

IFAE/BIST

SuSA principle

- Scaling QE nucleusneutrino using electron-nucleus data
- Scaling depends on a single quantity: the scaling variable ψ
- Superscaling means the scaling function depends only on the scaling variable and is independent of nuclear species
- SusaV2 detailled in the thesis «Chargedcurrent neutrino interactions with nucleons anf nuclei at intermediate energies » by Guillermo Daniel Megías Vázquez from Sevilla University

Scaling variable

$$
\Psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\xi_F}} \frac{\lambda - \tau}{\sqrt{(1 + \lambda)\tau + \kappa\sqrt{\tau(\tau + 1)}}}
$$

$$
\xi_F = \sqrt{1 + \eta_F^2 - 1}
$$

$$
\eta_F = \frac{k_F}{M_N}
$$

$$
\kappa = q/2 M_N
$$

$$
\lambda = q0/2 M_N
$$

$$
\tau = \kappa^2 - \lambda^2
$$

- Depends on transfer momentum (q) and transfer energy (q0)
- nucleon separation Energy (Eshift) has to be removed from transfered energy

Scaling variable

- Depends on transfer momentum (q) and transfer energy (q0)
- nucleon separation Energy (Eshift) has to be removed from transfered energy

Fermi Momentum

Susa parametrized scaling function

• Obtained from QE electron scaterring and single nucleon scattering.

SusaV2 parametrized scaling function

- Combination of :
	- Susa
	- Relativistic Mean Field (RMF)
	- RelativisticPlane Wave Impulse Approximation (RPWIA)
- The 5 scaling functions presented here are linearly combined to have 3 scaling functions two longitudinal and one transverse

Why testing SuSA?

- SuSA model use semiphenomelogical approach with electron scattering data :
	- use this to compare LFG result to electron scattering data
- See if we can apply the SuSA methodology to our current LFG.
- Explore the possibility of including a SuSA MC model in NEUT.

Direct comparison with LFG CCQE

Here E shift applied to ψ is fixed to 20 MeV. 1p1h (red curved on right plot) is limited to ψ <1,2 \rightarrow Incompatible with the parametrized SuSA scaling function on left plot This correspond to ψ where 2p2h is important

Direct comparison with LFG CCQE

Shift of the function + Normalisation Lack of low and high ψ value for LFG CCQE

$\psi(q0,q)$

- Red line ψ´ value
- Nieves CCQE cross section
- Transfer energy and transfer momentum allowed by our model are in the zone where ψ ' is between \sim -1,5 and 1

Reason for lack of high ψ' ?

- Scaling variable depending fermi momentum
- Lack of high ψ' tail \rightarrow limited Fermi Momentum
- But LFG Model restrict Fermi momentum

Effect on reconstructed energy

- Scaling variable vs Reconstructed neutrino energy - true neutrino energy
- Scaling variable affect energy reconstruction
- Could be predicted due to relation between this variable and fermi momentum

Monte Carlo from SUSA

Obtained from Susa papers.

 $\frac{d\sigma^{SUSA}(E_{\nu})}{dE_{\mu}d\cos\theta_{\mu}} = \frac{d\sigma^{RFG}(E_{\nu})}{dE_{\mu}d\cos\theta_{\mu}} f^{SUSA}(\psi'(E_{\nu}, E_{\mu}, \theta_{\mu}))$

This can be obtained from NEUT increasing the Fermi level to large values (500 MeV/c)

This approach will allow us to have a full kinematics of the hadron component.

Need to understand how to include the Pauli blocking.

Conclusion

- First comparison between SuSA and LFG model made
- Potential loss of CCQE cross section in our LFG model for high value of the scaling varaible => for event where transfer energy contribution is higher (for a fix transfer momentum)
- Scaling variable value linked Fermi momentum