GW Studies @ iLAPP-CFUMUP

A. Onofre

University of Minho, School of Sciences, Portugal

Contributions to work presented from: João D. Álvares, José A. Font, Felipe F. Freitas, Osvaldo G. Freitas, António P. Morais, Solange Nunes, Alejandro Torres-Forne



Astrophysics and Particle Physics

Virtual Iberian Gravitational Wave Meeting, 19-20 October, 2020

Antonio Onofre



GW Studies @ iLAPP-CFUMUP

Main Topics in this Talk What is iLAPP-CFUMUP? What are we currently doing on GW?

...a flavour of future plans?

Main Topics in this Talk

- What is iLAPP-CFUMUP?
- What are we currently doing on GW?

...a flavour of future plans?

▶ < 도 > < 도 >

iLAPP





4/19

What is iLAPP?

• It stands for:

Interdisciplinary Laboratory for Astrophysics and Particle Physics @ CF-UM-UP, University of Minho

- …a bit of history
 - Formarly approved May 2019 @ University of Minho
 - Scientific Program Focus on:
 - Particle Physics (past experience): elementary particles and fields (top quark and Higgs Physics) @ CERN
 - Astrophysics: mainly in GW (Black Holes, Neutron Stars mergers, etc.)

 Strong on-going collaboration with Toni Font group, Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics (DAA), University of Valencia (with Alejandro Torres-Forne, first contacts through Nico Sanchis-Gual) as well as

University of Aveiro (Felipe Freitas, António Morais and Carlos Herdeiro)

About myself: First came to UM in 2010, birth of LIP-Minho
 (30 members in 2020) IS iLAPP @ UM

Particle Physics: Global Fits of LHC data EFT Wilson Coefficients and anomalous Couplings

Antonio Onofre GW Studies @ iLAPP-CFUMUP

白 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

3

Why the top quark and Higgs boson?

apparently they are connected to the stability of the Universe





Antonio Onofre GW Studies @ iLAPP-CFUMUP

Constraints from Global Fits

[Improvements from Theory]

IN Towards a Global SMEFT Fit:



- Maltoni et al., arXiv:1901.05965
- 34 d.o.f., ≥ 100 observables

Notation	Sensitivity at $O(\Lambda^{-2})$ $(O(\Lambda^{-4}))$								
	tī	single-top	tW	tZ	tłW	tīZ	tīH	tītī	tībb
0001								1	1
0008								1	1
OQt1								1	1
OQt8								1	1
0Qb1									1
0058									1
Ott1								1	
Otb1									1
Otb8									1
OQtQb1									(√)
OQtQb8									(√)
081qq	1				1	1	1	1	1
011qq	M				м	М		1	1
083qq	1	[√]		[]	1	1	1	1	1
013qq		1		1	M	M		1	1
08qt	1				1	1	1	1	1
01qt	[]				1		[1]	1	1
08ut	1					1	1	1	1
Olut	[]					$[\mathbf{v}]$	[1]	1	1
08qu	1					1	1	1	1
01qu	[√]					$[\mathbf{v}]$	[1]	1	1
08dt	1					1	1	1	1
Oldt	[]					$[\mathbf{v}]$	[1]	1	1
08qd	1					1	1	1	1
01qd	[√]					[]	[√]	1	1
DtG	1		1		1	1	1	1	1
OtW		~	1	1					
OPA		(√)	(√)	()					
OtZ				1		1			
Off		(√)	(√)	(🗸)					
0fq3		1	1	1					
OpQM				1		1			
Opt				1		1			
Otp							1		

Antonio Onofre GW Studies @ iLAPP-CFUMUP

[Improvements from Theory]

registration Towards a Global SMEFT Fit: Results



Anomalous couplings/EFT parameters in global fits



Antonio Onofre

GW Studies @ iLAPP-CFUMUP

Main Topics in this Talk

- What is iLAPP-CFUMUP?
- What are we currently doing on GW?

...a flavour of future plans?

What are we currently doing on GW?

All about trying to understand GW

- use Machine Learning/Deep Learning tools to understand their:
 - nature
 - sources and distances
 - masses of mergers
 - spins, etc.

The research plan has been

- Use generated BH mergers spectrograms from GW waveforms injected in real noise conditions (see if what we inject is actually what we extract)
- Understand 1 detector versus 3 detector (LIGO⊕Virgo) responses
- Compare ML/DL results with published data

...several question needed an answer, Q1, Q2, Q3...

Q1: What is the best strategy? ... Osvaldo's idea



The idea: I) create 2 sets of spectrograms (no spin to start with) i.e., noise from each single detector in GPS random window, signal generated with pyCBC (using SEOBNRv4_ROM approximant) injected in noise

II) combine single detector spectrogram data into a single RGB image, to be used combined by the deep learning network architectures. The Hanford (top-left), Livingston (top-right) and Virgo (bottom-left) spectrogram data, are used as the Red, Green and Blue images, respectively, to build the full RGB image (bottom-right).

(ロ) (部) (E) (E) (E)

Q1: What is the best strategy? ... Osvaldo's idea



RGB image from background labeled spectrogram (left) as compared with a spectrogram where a GW waveform was injected into real conditions noise(right).

...a lot of additional tools prepared by **Felipe Freitas**, the ML/DL expert of the team

・聞き ・ヨキ ・ヨト

Q1: What is the best strategy (1 detector)?

Deep Learning Net. Scores for BBH for random (M1,M2) masses Simulated Signal Scores @ Different Distances

400Mpc

1Gpc

2Gpc

▶ < 말 > < 말 > ...

크



Simulated signal scores using 1 detector, for different luminosity distances, evaluated with deep learning networks trained with GW waveforms from binary black-holes mergers (BH-BH) at a luminosity distance of 2000 Mpc. Results, as a function of the BH masses of the binary system, M1 and M2, are shown for GW signals from sources at 400 Mpc (left), 1000 Mpc(center) and 2000 Mpc(right), are shown.

Deep Neural Network (DNN), 34 layer ResNet used for Classification

Q1: What is the best strategy (3 detector)?

Deep Learning Net. Scores for BBH for random (M1,M2) masses Simulated Signal Scores @ Different Distances

400Mpc

1Gpc

2Gpc

▶ < 말 > < 말 > ...

크



Simulated signal scores using 3 detectors, for different luminosity distances, evaluated with deep learning networks trained with GW waveforms from binary black-holes mergers (BH-BH) at a luminosity distance of 2000 Mpc. Results, as a function of the BH masses of the binary system, M1 and M2, are shown for GW signals from sources at 400 Mpc (left), 1000 Mpc(center) and 2000 Mpc(right), are shown.

Deep Neural Network (DNN), 34 layer ResNet used for Classification

Q2: Is it possible to extract what we inject?



Calibration from Deep Regression (xResNet18) with drop out results: d_L (top), chirp mass (mid.), and effective inspiral spin (bot.)

Antonio Onofre GW Studies @ iLAPP-CFUMUP

æ

프 🖌 🛪 프 🕨

Q3: How does DL results compare to literature?



Compare DL results with published results: d_L (left), chirp mass (middle), and effective inspiral spin (right)

GWTC-1 catalog(O1+O2): Physical Review X 9,031040 (2019) GW190412 (O3): Physical Review D 102,043015 (2020)

(日)

iLAPP-CFUMUP GW activities:



- Interface laboratory @ UM, Astrophysics ⊕ Particle Physics is a reality nowadays, which nicely complements on-going research in Minho
- 2) GW activities are becoming quite important, where new ML/DL techniques are being exercised ^{II}S³ results seem OK! prospects are good for the future....
- 3) There is an on-going close collaboration established with research groups and experts Special thanks to: Toni Font, Alejandro Torres-Forné, Felipe Freitas, António Morais, Carlos Herdeiro, Osvaldo Freitas, João Dinis, Solange Nunes for all the work done!



GW Studies @ iLAPP-CFUMUP

Antonio Onofre